

**Final Design Concept Report**

**Widening of Grand Avenue to a Continuous Six-Lane Arterial Street**

**US60, Grand Avenue  
(SR 303L to 99<sup>th</sup> Avenue)**

**ADOT CONTRACT NO. 05-26  
TRACS No. 60 MA 139 H6866 01L**

**Prepared For:**

**ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Prepared by:**

**DMJM HARRIS | AECOM**

2777 E. Camelback Road, Suite 200  
Phoenix, Arizona 85016

**January 2007**



ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

OFFICE MEMO

INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION DIVISION

January 17, 2007

TO: PERRY POWELL, PHOENIX CONSTRUCTION DISTRICT, E700  
STEVE BEASLEY, PROJECT MANAGER, 614E  
TIM WOLFE, PHOENIX MAINTENANCE, PM00  
MARY VIPARINA, ASSISTANT STATE ENGINEER, 611E

FROM: VINCENT LI, ROADWAY PREDESIGN, 605E

SUBJECT: DESIGN MEMORANDUM

60 MA 138.00 H686601L

US 60, GRAND AVE (SR 303L TO 99TH AVE)

WICKENBURG-PHOENIX HWY

US 60

This memorandum is prepared pursuant to Section 3.3 of the ADOT Action Plan for Federal-Aid Highway projects. The proposed major design features for this project are described in the attached Final Design Concept Report.

Your concurrence/approval on the proposed major design features is requested.

  
VINCENT LI, ROADWAY PREDESIGN MANAGER, 605E

Concurrence:   
PERRY POWELL, PHOENIX CONSTRUCTION DISTRICT, E700

1-23-07  
Date

Concurrence:   
STEVE BEASLEY, PROJECT MANAGER, 614E

1-26-07  
Date

Concurrence:   
TIM WOLFE, PHOENIX MAINTENANCE, PM00

1-23-07  
Date

Approved:   
MARY VIPARINA, ASSISTANT STATE ENGINEER-ROADWAY, 611E

1/31/07  
Date

**Final Design Concept Report**

**Widening of Grand Avenue to a Continuous Six-Lane Arterial Street**

**US60, Grand Avenue  
(SR 303L to 99<sup>th</sup> Avenue)**

**ADOT CONTRACT NO. 05-26  
TRACS No. 60 MA 139 H6866 01L**

**Prepared For:**

**ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Prepared by:**

**DMJM HARRIS | AECOM**

2777 E. Camelback Road, Suite 200  
Phoenix, Arizona 85016

**January 2007**



**Table of Contents**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....	1	3.3.4 Alternative 3 – Six Lane Arterial (Improved Shoulder Widths) .....	46
LIST OF MITIGATION MEASURES .....	2	3.4 Preferred Alternative .....	47
1.0 INTRODUCTION .....	4	4.0 MAJOR DESIGN FEATURES OF THE RECOMMENDED ALTERNATIVE .....	48
1.1 Foreword .....	4	4.1 Design Criteria .....	48
1.2 Need for the Project .....	4	4.2 Typical Roadway Section .....	48
1.3 Project Objectives .....	5	4.3 Horizontal and Vertical Alignments .....	48
1.4 Characteristics of the Corridor .....	5	4.4 Access Control .....	49
1.4.1 Roadway Characteristics .....	5	4.5 Right-of-Way .....	59
1.4.2 Land Use .....	6	4.6 Drainage .....	59
1.4.3 Drainage .....	6	4.6.1 Analysis Criteria .....	59
1.4.4 Utilities .....	7	4.6.2 Offsite Drainage Improvements .....	59
1.4.5 Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railroad .....	8	4.6.3 Onsite Drainage Improvements .....	59
1.4.6 Right-of-Way .....	8	4.7 Traffic .....	59
1.4.7 Structures .....	8	4.7.1 Signal Timing .....	59
1.4.8 Geotechnical Conditions .....	8	4.7.2 Railroad Analysis .....	59
1.4.9 Lighting and Traffic Signals .....	10	4.7.3 Traffic Signal Modifications .....	60
1.4.10 Previous Projects .....	10	4.7.4 Lighting .....	60
2.0 TRAFFIC AND CRASH DATA .....	11	4.7.5 Signing .....	60
2.1 Existing Traffic Conditions .....	11	4.7.6 Intelligent Transportation Systems .....	60
2.2 Future Traffic Conditions .....	17	4.8 Utilities .....	60
2.2.1 Future Traffic Volumes .....	17	4.9 Earthwork .....	60
2.2.2 Operational Analysis .....	17	4.10 Structures .....	60
2.2.3 Signal Progression Analysis .....	34	4.11 Pavement Design .....	60
2.3 Crash Analysis .....	34	4.12 Landscaping .....	61
2.4 Access Control .....	35	4.13 Construction Phasing and Traffic Control .....	61
3.0 DESIGN CONCEPT ALTERNATIVES .....	45	4.14 Adjacent Projects .....	61
3.1 Introduction .....	45	5.0 ITEMIZED PROJECT COST ESTIMATES .....	63
3.2 EVALUATION CRITERIA .....	45	6.0 AASHTO CONTROLLING DESIGN CRITERIA .....	67
3.3 DESIGN CONCEPT ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED .....	45	6.1 AASHTO Non-Conforming Geometric Design Elements .....	67
3.3.1 No-Build Alternative .....	45	6.2 Request for AASHTO Design Exceptions .....	67
3.3.2 Alternative 1 – Six Lane Arterial .....	45	6.3 ADOT Non-Conforming Geometric Design Elements .....	67
3.3.3 Alternative 2 – Six Lane Arterial (NW Corridor Study Roadway Section) .....	46	6.4 Request for Adot Design Exceptions .....	67
		7.0 SOCIAL, ECONOMIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS .....	68
		7.1 Land Use and Ownership .....	68

7.2	Environmental Justice/Title VI .....	68
7.3	Social and Economic Impacts.....	68
7.4	Cultural Resources.....	68
7.5	Hazardous Materials.....	69
7.6	Air Quality Analysis.....	69
7.7	Biological Resources.....	69
7.8	Water Resource .....	69
7.9	Noise.....	70
7.10	Visual Quality .....	70
7.11	Summary of Section 4(f) and 6(f).....	70
7.12	Public and Agency Involvement Process.....	71

**Appendices**

Appendix A – AASHTO Controlling Design Criteria Report
Appendix B – Design Exception Request
Appendix C – Agency Stakeholder Letters
Appendix D – Roadway Plans

**List of Figures**

FIGURE 1 – PROJECT LOCATION MAP.....	4
FIGURE 2 – PROJECT VICINITY MAP.....	5
FIGURE 3 – EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS.....	12
FIGURE 4 – YEAR 2030 NO-BUILD TRAFFIC VOLUMES.....	18
FIGURE 5 – YEAR 2030 BUILD TRAFFIC VOLUMES.....	23
FIGURE 6 – YEAR 2030 BUILD SCENARIO TRAFFIC CONDITIONS.....	29
FIGURE 7 – ACCESS INVENTORY PLAN .....	36
FIGURE 8 – SUGGESTED ACCESS REVISION.....	50

**List of Tables**

Table 1 – Existing Utilities.....	7
Table 2 – Existing Pavement Sections .....	9
Table 3 – Existing and No-Build Intersection LOS Analysis Results.....	28
Table 4 – Existing and No-Build Arterial Analysis Results.....	28
Table 5 – Build Scenario Intersection LOS Analysis Results.....	28
Table 6 – Build Scenario Arterial Analysis Results .....	34
Table 7 – Crash Summary by Segment.....	34
Table 8 – Crash Summary by Intersection.....	35
Table 9 – Design Controls for US 60 .....	48
Table 10 – US 60 Vertical Alignment Data .....	48
Table 11 – Preliminary Design One-Way Traffic Volumes and Calculated One-Way 18-kip ESAL Loadings .....	61
Table 12 – Preliminary Calculated Pavement Sections Using Projected Traffic Volumes and a Design R-value of 25 .....	61
Table 13 – Itemized Project Cost Estimate, Alternative 1 .....	64
Table 14 – Itemized Project Cost Estimate, Alternative 2 .....	65
Table 15 – Itemized Project Cost Estimate, Alternative 3 (Recommended) .....	656
Table 16 – Location of Noise Modeled Customers .....	70
Table 17 – Suggested Noise Mitigation .....	70